

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,663	DIEPERS ET AL.	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** *non-final*(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao. (3) _____.(2) Mr. Laurence Greenberg. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

*art of record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Counsel was informed that in order to overcome the art in a prior office action, Counsel must positively assert that the claimed invention is commonly owned or has a common assignee as the art at the time the invention was made. Counsel agreed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)